

NUMBER OF RATIONAL POINTS OF SYMMETRIC COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS OVER A FINITE FIELD AND APPLICATIONS

GUILLERMO MATERA^{1,2}, MARIANA PÉREZ¹, AND MELINA PRIVITELLI³

ABSTRACT. We study the set of common $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zeros of systems of multivariate symmetric polynomials with coefficients in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. We establish certain properties on these polynomials which imply that the corresponding set of zeros over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a complete intersection with “good” behavior at infinity, whose singular locus has a codimension at least two or three. These results are used to estimate the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of the corresponding complete intersections. Finally, we illustrate the interest of these estimates through their application to certain classical combinatorial problems over finite fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Several problems of coding theory, cryptography or combinatorics require the study of the set of rational points of varieties defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ on which the symmetric group of permutations of the coordinates acts. In coding theory, deep holes in the standard Reed–Solomon code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be expressed by the set of zeros with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$ of certain symmetric polynomial (see, e.g., [CM07b] or [CMP12]). Further, the study of the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zeros of a certain class of symmetric polynomials is fundamental for the decoding algorithm for the standard Reed–Solomon code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of [Sid94]. In cryptography, the characterization of monomials defining an almost perfect nonlinear polynomial or a differentially uniform mapping can be reduced to estimate the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zeros of certain symmetric polynomials (see, e.g., [Rod09] or [AR10]). In [GM15], an optimal representation for the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of the trace-zero variety of an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ has been obtained by means of symmetric polynomials. Finally, it is also worth mentioning that applications in combinatorics over finite fields, as the determination of the average cardinality of the value set and the distribution of factorization patterns of families of univariate polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$, has also been expressed in terms of the number of common $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zeros of symmetric polynomials defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see [CMPP14] and [CMP15b]).

In [CMP12], [CMPP14] and [CMP15b] we have developed a methodology to deal with some of the problems mentioned above. This methodology relies on the study of the geometry of the set of common zeros of the symmetric polynomials under consideration over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$. By means of such a study we have been able to prove that in all the cases under consideration the set of common zeros in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ of the corresponding symmetric polynomials is a complete intersection, whose singular locus has a “controlled” dimension. This has allowed us to apply certain explicit estimates on the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zeros of projective complete intersections defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see, e.g., [GL02a], [CM07a], [CMP15a] or [MPP16]) to obtain a conclusion for the problem under consideration.

The analysis of [CMP12], [CMPP14] and [CMP15b] has several points in common, which may be put on a common basis that might be useful for other problems. For this reason, in

Date: October 14, 2015.

Key words and phrases. Finite fields, symmetric polynomials, complete intersections, singular locus, factorization patterns, value sets.

The authors were partially supported by the grants PIP CONICET 11220130100598, PIO conicet-ungs 14420140100027 and UNGS 30/3084.

this paper we present a unified and generalized framework where a study of the geometry of complete intersections defined by symmetric polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be carried out along the lines of the papers above. More precisely, let $Y_1, \dots, Y_s$ be indeterminates over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $S_1, \dots, S_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$. Consider the weight $\text{wt} : \mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by setting $\text{wt}(Y_j) := j$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and denote by $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ the components of highest weight of $S_1, \dots, S_m$. Let $(\partial \mathbf{S} / \partial \mathbf{Y})$ and $(\partial \mathbf{S}^{\text{wt}} / \partial \mathbf{Y})$ the Jacobian matrices of $S_1, \dots, S_m$ and $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ with respect to $Y_1, \dots, Y_s$ respectively. Suppose that

- (1) $(\partial \mathbf{S} / \partial \mathbf{Y})$ has full rank on every point of the affine variety $V(S_1, \dots, S_m) \subset \mathbb{A}^s$,
- (2) $(\partial \mathbf{S}^{\text{wt}} / \partial \mathbf{Y})$ has full rank on every point of the affine variety $V(S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}) \subset \mathbb{A}^s$.

Finally, if $X_1, \dots, X_r$ are new indeterminates over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s$ are the first s elementary symmetric polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$, we consider the polynomials $R_1, \dots, R_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ defined as

$$R_i := S_i(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m).$$

We shall prove that the affine variety $V := V(R_1, \dots, R_m) \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ is a complete intersection whose geometry can be studied with similar arguments as those in the papers cited above. Further, we shall show how estimates on the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of projective complete intersections can be applied in this unified framework to estimate the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of V . As a consequence, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let assumptions and notations be as above. Denote $\delta := \prod_{i=1}^s \deg R_i$ and $D := \sum_{i=1}^s (\deg R_i - 1)$. If $|V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ is the cardinality of the set $V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of V , then the following estimate holds:*

$$||V(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{r-m}| \leq 14D^3\delta^2(q+1)q^{r-m-2}.$$

To illustrate the applications of Theorem 1.1, we shall consider two classical combinatorial problems over finite fields. The first one is concerned with the distribution of factorization patterns on a linear family of monic polynomials of given degree of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. If the linear family under consideration consists of the monic polynomials of degree n for which the first $s < n - 2$ coefficients are fixed, then we have a function-field analogous to the classical conjecture on the number of primes in short intervals, which has been the subject of several articles (see, e.g., [Pol13], [BBR15] or [MP13, §3.5]). The study of general linear families of polynomial goes back at least to [Coh72]. Here, following the approach of [CMP15b], we obtain an explicit estimate on the number of elements on a linear family of monic polynomials of fixed degree of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ having a given factorization pattern, for which we rely on Theorem 1.1.

The second problem we consider is that of estimating the average cardinality of the value sets of families of polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ with certain consecutive coefficients prescribed. The study of the cardinality of value sets of univariate polynomials is the subject of the seminal paper [BS59]. In [Uch55] and [Coh73] the authors determine the average cardinality of the value set of all monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of given degree, while [Uch55] and [Coh72] are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the average cardinality of the value set of all polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of given degree where certain consecutive coefficients are fixed. We shall follow the approach of [CMPP14], where the question is expressed in terms of the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of certain complete intersection defined by symmetric polynomials.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly recall the notions and notations of algebraic geometry we use. Section 3 is devoted to present our unified framework and to establish several results on the geometry of the affine complete intersections of interest. In Section 4 we study the behavior of these complete intersections “at infinity” and prove Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Sections 5 and 6 we apply Theorem 1.1 to determine the distribution of factorization patterns and the average cardinality of value sets of families of univariate polynomials.

2. NOTIONS, NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We use standard notions and notations of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry as can be found in, e.g., [Har92], [Kun85] or [Sha94].

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be any of the fields $\mathbb{F}_q$ or $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. We denote by $\mathbb{A}^n$ the n -dimensional affine space $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$ and by $\mathbb{P}^n$ the n -dimensional projective space over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^{n+1}$. By a *projective variety defined over $\mathbb{K}$* (or a projective $\mathbb{K}$ -variety for short) we mean a subset $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of common zeros of homogeneous polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathbb{K}[X_0, \dots, X_n]$. Correspondingly, an *affine variety of $\mathbb{A}^n$ defined over $\mathbb{K}$* (or an affine $\mathbb{K}$ -variety) is the set of common zeros in $\mathbb{A}^n$ of polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. We shall frequently denote by $V(F_1, \dots, F_m)$ or $\{F_1 = 0, \dots, F_m = 0\}$ the affine or projective $\mathbb{K}$ -variety consisting of the common zeros of the polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m$.

In what follows, unless otherwise stated, all results referring to varieties in general should be understood as valid for both projective and affine varieties. A $\mathbb{K}$ -variety V is $\mathbb{K}$ -irreducible if it cannot be expressed as a finite union of proper $\mathbb{K}$ -subvarieties of V . Further, V is *absolutely irreducible* if it is $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ -irreducible as a $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ -variety. Any $\mathbb{K}$ -variety V can be expressed as an irredundant union $V = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_s$ of irreducible (absolutely irreducible) $\mathbb{K}$ -varieties, unique up to reordering, which are called the *irreducible (absolutely irreducible) $\mathbb{K}$ -components* of V .

For a $\mathbb{K}$ -variety V contained in $\mathbb{P}^n$ or $\mathbb{A}^n$, we denote by $I(V)$ its *defining ideal*, namely the set of polynomials of $\mathbb{K}[X_0, \dots, X_n]$, or of $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, vanishing on V . The *coordinate ring* $\mathbb{K}[V]$ of V is defined as the quotient ring $\mathbb{K}[X_0, \dots, X_n]/I(V)$ or $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I(V)$. The *dimension* $\dim V$ of V is the length r of the longest chain $V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq V_r$ of nonempty irreducible $\mathbb{K}$ -varieties contained in V . We say that V has *pure dimension r* if all the irreducible $\mathbb{K}$ -components of V are of dimension r .

The *degree* $\deg V$ of an irreducible $\mathbb{K}$ -variety V is the maximum number of points lying in the intersection of V with a linear space L of codimension $\dim V$, for which $V \cap L$ is a finite set. More generally, following [Hei83] (see also [Ful84]), if $V = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_s$ is the decomposition of V into irreducible $\mathbb{K}$ -components, we define the degree of V as

$$\deg V := \sum_{i=1}^s \deg \mathcal{C}_i.$$

We shall use the following *Bézout inequality* (see [Hei83], [Ful84], [Vog84]): if V and W are $\mathbb{K}$ -varieties of the same ambient space, then

$$(2.1) \quad \deg(V \cap W) \leq \deg V \cdot \deg W.$$

Let $V \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be a $\mathbb{K}$ -variety and $I(V) \subset \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ its defining ideal. Let x be a point of V . The *dimension* $\dim_x V$ of V at x is the maximum of the dimensions of the irreducible $\mathbb{K}$ -components of V that contain x . If $I(V) = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$, the *tangent space* $T_x V$ to V at x is the kernel of the Jacobian matrix $(\partial F_i / \partial X_j)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}(x)$ of the polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m$ with respect to $X_1, \dots, X_n$ at x . The point x is *regular* if $\dim T_x V = \dim_x V$. Otherwise, the point x is called *singular*. The set of singular points of V is the *singular locus* $\text{Sing}(V)$ of V ; a variety is called *nonsingular* if its singular locus is empty. For a projective variety, the concepts of tangent space, regular and singular point can be defined by considering an affine neighborhood of the point under consideration.

Let V and W be irreducible affine $\mathbb{K}$ -varieties of the same dimension and $f : V \rightarrow W$ a regular map for which $\overline{f(V)} = W$, where $\overline{f(V)}$ is the closure of $f(V)$ with respect to the Zariski topology of W . Such a map is called *dominant*. Then f induces a ring extension $\mathbb{K}[W] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}[V]$ by composition with f . We say that the dominant map f is a *finite morphism* if this extension is integral. We observe that the preimage $f^{-1}(S)$ of an irreducible closed subset $S \subset W$ under a dominant finite morphism is of pure dimension $\dim S$ (see, e.g., [Dan94, §4.2, Proposition]).

Elements $F_1, \dots, F_{n-r}$ in $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ or $\mathbb{K}[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ form a *regular sequence* if F_1 is nonzero and no F_i is a zero divisor in the quotient ring $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(F_1, \dots, F_{i-1})$ or $\mathbb{K}[X_0, \dots, X_n]/(F_1, \dots, F_{i-1})$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-r$. In that case, the (affine or projective) $\mathbb{K}$ -variety $V := V(F_1, \dots, F_{n-r})$ is called a *set-theoretic complete intersection*. Furthermore, V is called an (ideal-theoretic) *complete intersection* if its ideal $I(V)$ over $\mathbb{K}$ can be generated by $n-r$ polynomials. If $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a complete intersection of dimension r defined over $\mathbb{K}$, and $F_1, \dots, F_{n-r}$ is a system of homogeneous generators of $I(V)$, the degrees $d_1, \dots, d_{n-r}$ depend only on V and not on the system of generators. Arranging the d_i in such a way that $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_{n-r}$, we call $\mathbf{d} := (d_1, \dots, d_{n-r})$ the *multidegree* of V . According to the *Bézout theorem* (see, e.g., [Har92, Theorem 18.3]), in such a case we have $\deg V = d_1 \cdots d_{n-r}$.

In what follows we shall deal with a particular class of complete intersections, which we now define. A $\mathbb{K}$ -variety V is *regular in codimension m* if its singular locus $\text{Sing}(V)$ has codimension at least $m+1$ in V , i.e., $\dim V - \dim \text{Sing}(V) \geq m+1$. A complete intersection V which is regular in codimension 1 is called *normal* (actually, normality is a general notion that agrees on complete intersections with the one we define here). A fundamental result for projective normal complete intersections is the Hartshorne connectedness theorem (see, e.g., [Kun85, Theorem VI.4.2]), which we now state. If $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a complete intersection defined over $\mathbb{K}$ and $W \subset V$ is any $\mathbb{K}$ -subvariety of codimension at least 2, then $V \setminus W$ is connected in the Zariski topology of $\mathbb{P}^n$ over $\mathbb{K}$. Applying the Hartshorne connectedness theorem with $W := \text{Sing}(V)$, one deduces the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *If $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a normal complete intersection, then V is absolutely irreducible.*

2.1. Rational points. We denote by $\mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the n -dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and by $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the set of lines of the $(n+1)$ -dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^{n+1}$. For a projective variety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ or an affine variety $V \subset \mathbb{A}^n$, we denote by $V(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of V , namely $V(\mathbb{F}_q) := V \cap \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the projective case and $V(\mathbb{F}_q) := V \cap \mathbb{A}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in the affine case.

For a projective variety V of dimension r and degree δ we have the upper bound (see [GL02b, Proposition 12.1] or [CM07a, Proposition 3.1])

$$(2.2) \quad |V(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq \delta p_r.$$

On the other hand, if V is an affine variety of dimension r and degree δ , then (see, e.g., [CM06, Lemma 2.1])

$$(2.3) \quad |V(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq \delta q^r.$$

3. ON THE GEOMETRY OF SYMMETRIC COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

Let s, r, m be positive integers with $m \leq s \leq r-m-2$. Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_s$ be indeterminates over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$ be the ring of polynomials in $Y_1, \dots, Y_s$ and coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$. We shall consider the weight wt on $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$ defined by setting $\text{wt}(Y_j) := j$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $S_1, \dots, S_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$ and let $W_s \subset \mathbb{A}^s$ be the affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety that they define. Let $(\partial \mathbf{S} / \partial \mathbf{Y}) := (\partial S_i / \partial Y_j)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq s}$ be the Jacobian matrix of $S_1, \dots, S_m$ with respect to $Y_1, \dots, Y_s$. Assume that $S_1, \dots, S_m$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (H₁) $S_1, \dots, S_m$ form a regular sequence of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$;
- (H₂) $(\partial \mathbf{S} / \partial \mathbf{Y})(\mathbf{y})$ has full rank m for every $\mathbf{y} \in W_s$;
- (H₃) The components $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ of highest weight of $S_1, \dots, S_m$ satisfy (H₁) and (H₂).

A polynomial $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$ is called *weighted homogeneous* (for the grading defined by the weight wt) if all the monomials arising in this dense representation have the same weight. In this sense, it is clear that $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ are weighted homogeneous.

In the next two remarks we show that polynomials $S_1, \dots, S_m$ as above, such that $S_1, \dots, S_m$ and $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ satisfy (H₂), necessarily satisfy (H₁) and (H₃). Nevertheless,

as we shall rely repeatedly on the hypotheses (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) , for the sake of readability we express the conditions that the polynomials $S_1, \dots, S_m$ must satisfy in this way.

Remark 3.1. If $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ satisfy (H_2) , then $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ form a regular sequence of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$. Indeed, denote by $W^{\text{wt}} \subset \mathbb{A}^s$ the affine variety defined by $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be an arbitrary absolutely irreducible component of W^{wt} . Then $\dim \mathcal{C} \geq s - m$. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a regular point of W^{wt} , then the fact that $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ satisfy (H_2) implies that the tangent space $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} W^{\text{wt}}$ of W^{wt} at $\mathbf{y}$ has dimension at most $s - m$. We conclude that $\dim \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} W^{\text{wt}} = \dim \mathcal{C} = s - m$. In other words, W^{wt} is of pure dimension $s - m$. Finally, as $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ are weighted homogeneous, the remark follows.

Remark 3.2. If $S_1, \dots, S_m$ and $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ satisfy (H_2) , then $S_1, \dots, S_m$ form a regular sequence of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$. Indeed, let $S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$ be the homogenizations of $S_1, \dots, S_m$ with respect to the weight wt . We claim that the affine variety $V(S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}}) \subset \mathbb{A}^{s+1}$ is of pure dimension $s - m + 1$.

To show the claim, let $\mathcal{C}$ be an absolutely irreducible component of $V(S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}})$. It is clear that $\dim \mathcal{C} \geq s - m + 1$. Now let $\mathbf{y} := (y_0, \dots, y_s) \in \mathcal{C}$ be a regular point of $V(S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}})$. Without loss of generality we may assume that either $y_0 = 1$ or $y_0 = 0$. In the first case, the fact that the polynomials $S_1, \dots, S_m$ satisfy (H_2) implies that $\dim \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} V(S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}}) \leq s - m + 1$. On the other hand, if $y_0 = 0$, then $(y_1, \dots, y_s) \in W^{\text{wt}}$, and since $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ satisfy (H_2) , we deduce that $\dim \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} V(S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}}) \leq s - m + 1$. In either case $\dim \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} V(S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}}) \leq s - m + 1$, which proves that $\dim \mathcal{C} = s - m + 1$. This finishes the proof of the claim.

Combining the claim with the fact that $S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}}$ are weighted homogeneous, we conclude that $S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_m^{h_{\text{wt}}}$ form a regular sequence. In particular, $V(S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_j^{h_{\text{wt}}})$ is of pure dimension $s - j + 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. As the affine variety defined by the homogenization of each element of the ideal $(S_1, \dots, S_j)$ with respect to the grading defined by wt has dimension $\dim V(S_1, \dots, S_j) + 1$ and is contained in the affine variety defined by $S_1^{h_{\text{wt}}}, \dots, S_j^{h_{\text{wt}}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have $\dim V(S_1, \dots, S_j) = s - j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. This proves that $S_1, \dots, S_m$ form a regular sequence.

Remark 3.3. From (H_1) we conclude that the variety $W_s \subset \mathbb{A}^s$ defined by $S_1, \dots, S_m$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection of dimension $s - m$. Furthermore, by (H_2) it follows that the subvariety of W_s defined by the set of common zeros of the maximal minors of the Jacobian matrix $(\partial \mathbf{S} / \partial \mathbf{Y})$ has codimension at least one. Then [Eis95, Theorem 18.15] proves that $S_1, \dots, S_m$ define a radical ideal, which implies that W_s is a complete intersection.

Let $X_1, \dots, X_r$ be indeterminates over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ the ring of polynomials in $X_1, \dots, X_r$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Denote by $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s$ the first s elementary symmetric polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. Let $R_1, \dots, R_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ be the polynomials

$$(3.1) \quad R_i := S_i(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m).$$

Let $\deg R_i := d_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. In what follows we shall prove several facts concerning to the geometry of the affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety $V_r \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ defined by $R_1, \dots, R_m$.

For this purpose, consider the following surjective morphism of affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -varieties:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Pi}^r : \mathbb{A}^r &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^r \\ \mathbf{x} &\mapsto (\Pi_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \Pi_r(\mathbf{x})). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{\Pi}^r$ is a finite morphism. In particular, the preimage $(\mathbf{\Pi}^r)^{-1}(Z)$ of an irreducible affine variety $Z \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ of dimension m is of pure dimension m .

We now consider the polynomials $S_1, \dots, S_m$ as elements of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]$, and denote $W_r := V(S_1, \dots, S_m) \subset \mathbb{A}^r$. Observe that $V_r = (\mathbf{\Pi}^r)^{-1}(W_r)$. Since $S_1, \dots, S_m$ form a

regular sequence of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]$, the variety $W_r^j := V(S_1, \dots, S_j) \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ has pure dimension $r - j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. This implies that the variety $V_r^j := (\mathbf{\Pi}^r)^{-1}(W_r^j)$ defined by $R_1, \dots, R_j$ has pure dimension $r - j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Hence, the polynomials $R_1, \dots, R_m$ form a regular sequence of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ and we have the following result.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $V_r \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ be the affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety defined by $R_1, \dots, R_m$. Then V_r is a set-theoretic complete intersection of dimension $r - m$.*

Next we study the singular locus of V_r . To do this, we consider the following morphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -varieties:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Pi} : V_r &\rightarrow W_s \\ \mathbf{x} &\mapsto (\Pi_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \Pi_s(\mathbf{x})). \end{aligned}$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in V_r$ and $\mathbf{y} := \mathbf{\Pi}(\mathbf{x})$, we denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}V_r$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}}W_s$ the tangent spaces to V_r at $\mathbf{x}$ and to W_s at $\mathbf{y}$ respectively. We also consider the differential map of $\mathbf{\Pi}$ at $\mathbf{x}$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{\Pi} : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}V_r &\rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}}W_s \\ \mathbf{v} &\mapsto A(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{v}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A(\mathbf{x})$ is the following $(s \times r)$ -matrix:

$$(3.2) \quad A(\mathbf{x}) := \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{\Pi}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \right) (\mathbf{x}) := \left(\frac{\partial \Pi_i}{\partial X_j}(\mathbf{x}) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r}.$$

The main result of this section is an upper bound on the dimension of the singular locus of V_r . To prove such a bound, we make some remarks concerning the Jacobian matrix of the elementary symmetric polynomials. It is well-known that the partial derivatives of the elementary symmetric polynomials Π_i satisfy the following identities (see, e.g., [LP02]) for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$:

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_i}{\partial X_j} = \Pi_{i-1} - X_j \Pi_{i-2} + X_j^2 \Pi_{i-3} - \dots + (-1)^{i-1} X_j^{i-1}.$$

As a consequence, if A_r denotes the $(r \times r)$ -Vandermonde matrix

$$A_r := (X_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r},$$

then the Jacobian matrix $(\partial \mathbf{\Pi}^r / \partial \mathbf{X})$ of $\mathbf{\Pi}^r := (\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_r)$ with respect to $X_1, \dots, X_r$ can be factored as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{\Pi}^r}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \right) := B_r \cdot A_r := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \Pi_1 & -1 & 0 & & \\ \Pi_2 & -\Pi_1 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ \Pi_{r-1} & -\Pi_{r-2} & \Pi_{r-3} & \dots & (-1)^{r-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot A_r.$$

Observe that B_r is a square, lower-triangular matrix whose determinant is equal to $(-1)^{(r-1)r/2}$. This implies that the determinant of $(\partial \mathbf{\Pi}^r / \partial \mathbf{X})$ is equal, up to a sign, to the determinant of A_r , namely,

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{\Pi}^r}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \right) = (-1)^{(r-1)r/2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (X_j - X_i).$$

Denote by $(\partial \mathbf{R} / \partial \mathbf{X}) := (\partial R_i / \partial X_j)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq r}$ the Jacobian matrix of $R_1, \dots, R_m$ with respect to $X_1, \dots, X_r$. The following result generalizes [CMPP14, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 3.5. *The set of points $\mathbf{x} \in V_r$ for which $(\partial \mathbf{R} / \partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x})$ does not have full rank, has dimension at most $s - 1$. In particular, the singular locus Σ_r of V_r has dimension at most $s - 1$.*

Proof. By the chain rule, the partial derivatives of R_i satisfy the following equality:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{X}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mathbf{Y}} \circ \Pi\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \mathbf{X}}\right).$$

Fix an arbitrary point $\mathbf{x} \in V_r$ for which $(\partial \mathbf{R}/\partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x})$ does not have full rank. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{A}^m$ be a nonzero vector in the left kernel of $(\partial \mathbf{R}/\partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x})$. Thus

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{X}}\right)(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mathbf{Y}}\right)(\Pi(\mathbf{x})) \cdot A(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $A(\mathbf{x})$ is the matrix defined in (3.2). Since by hypothesis (H_2) the Jacobian matrix $(\partial \mathbf{S}/\partial \mathbf{Y})(\Pi(\mathbf{x}))$ has full rank, we deduce that $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{v} \cdot (\partial \mathbf{S}/\partial \mathbf{Y})(\Pi(\mathbf{x})) \in \mathbb{A}^s$ is a nonzero vector with $\mathbf{w} \cdot A(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$. Hence, all the maximal minors of $A(\mathbf{x})$ must be zero.

Observe that $A(\mathbf{x})$ is the $(s \times r)$ -submatrix of $(\partial \Pi^r/\partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x})$ which consists of the first s rows of $(\partial \Pi^r/\partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x})$. Therefore, according to (3.3) we conclude that

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = B_{s,r}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot A_r(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $B_{s,r}(\mathbf{x})$ is the $(s \times r)$ -submatrix of $B_r(\mathbf{x})$ consisting of the first s rows of $B_r(\mathbf{x})$. Since the last $r - s$ columns of $B_{s,r}(\mathbf{x})$ are zero, we may rewrite this identity as follows:

$$(3.4) \quad A(\mathbf{x}) = B_s(\mathbf{x}) \cdot (x_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r},$$

where $B_s(\mathbf{x})$ is the $(s \times s)$ -submatrix of $B_r(\mathbf{x})$ consisting of the first s rows and the first s columns of $B_r(\mathbf{x})$.

Fix $1 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_s \leq r$, set $I := (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ and consider the $(s \times s)$ -submatrix $M_I(\mathbf{x})$ of $A(\mathbf{x})$ consisting of the columns $l_1, \dots, l_s$ of $A(\mathbf{x})$, namely $M_I(\mathbf{x}) := (\partial \Pi_i / \partial X_{l_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq s}(\mathbf{x})$. From (3.3) and (3.4) we deduce that $M_I(\mathbf{x}) = B_s(\mathbf{x}) \cdot A_{s,I}(\mathbf{x})$, where $A_{s,I}(\mathbf{x})$ is the Vandermonde matrix $A_{s,I}(\mathbf{x}) := (x_{l_j}^{i-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq s}$. As a consequence,

$$(3.5) \quad \det(M_I(\mathbf{x})) = (-1)^{\frac{(s-1)s}{2}} \det A_{s,I}(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^{\frac{(s-1)s}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq m < n \leq s} (x_{l_n} - x_{l_m}) = 0.$$

Since (3.5) holds for every $I := (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ as above, we conclude that $\mathbf{x}$ has at least $s - 1$ pairwise-distinct coordinates. In particular, the set of points $\mathbf{x} \in V_r$ for which $\text{rank}(\partial \mathbf{R}/\partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x}) < m$ is contained in a finite union of linear varieties of $\mathbb{A}^r$ of dimension $s - 1$, and thus is an affine variety of dimension at most $s - 1$.

Now let $\mathbf{x}$ be an arbitrary point of Σ_r . By Lemma 3.4 we have that $\dim \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} V_r > r - m$. Thus, the rank of $(\partial \mathbf{R}/\partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x})$ is less than m , for otherwise we would have $\dim \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}} V_r \leq r - m$, contradicting thus the fact that $\mathbf{x}$ is a singular point of V_r . This finishes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

From the proof of Theorem 3.5 we conclude that the singular locus of V_r is included in a simple variety of “low” dimension.

Remark 3.6. Let notations and assumptions be as in Theorem 3.5. From the proof of Theorem 3.5 we obtain the following inclusion:

$$\Sigma_r \subset \bigcup_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}},$$

where $\mathcal{I} := \{I_1, \dots, I_{s-1}\}$ runs over all the partitions of $\{1, \dots, r\}$ into $s - 1$ nonempty subsets $I_j \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}} := \text{span}(\mathbf{v}^{I_1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}^{I_{s-1}})$ is the linear variety spanned by the vectors $\mathbf{v}^{I_j} := (v_1^{I_j}, \dots, v_r^{I_j})$ defined by $v_m^{I_j} := 1$ for $m \in I_j$ and $v_m^{I_j} := 0$ for $m \notin I_j$.

From Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 we obtain further consequences concerning the polynomials R_i and the variety V_r . According to Theorem 3.5, the set of points $\mathbf{x} \in V_r$ for which the matrix $(\partial \mathbf{R}/\partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x})$ does not have full rank, has dimension at most $s - 1$. Since $R_1, \dots, R_m$ form a regular regular sequence and $s \leq r - m - 2$, [Eis95, Theorem 18.15] shows that $R_1, \dots, R_m$ define a radical ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$, and thus V_r is a complete

intersection. Finally, the Bézout inequality (2.1) implies $\deg V_r \leq \prod_{i=1}^m d_i$. In other words, we have the following statement.

Corollary 3.7. *The polynomials $R_1, \dots, R_m$ define a radical ideal and the variety V_r is a complete intersection of degree at most $\deg V_r \leq \prod_{i=1}^m d_i$.*

4. THE NUMBER OF RATIONAL POINTS OF SYMMETRIC COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS

The results of the previous section on the geometry of the affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety $V_r \subset \mathbb{A}^r$, which is defined by the symmetric polynomials $R_1, \dots, R_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ of (3.1), form the basis of our approach to estimate the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of V_r . As we shall rely on estimates for projective complete intersections defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we shall also need information on the behavior of V_r “at infinity”.

4.1. The geometry of the projective closure. Consider the embedding of $\mathbb{A}^r$ into the projective space $\mathbb{P}^r$ which assigns to any $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{A}^r$ the point $(1 : x_1 : \dots : x_r) \in \mathbb{P}^r$. Then the closure $\text{pcl}(V_r) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ of the image of V_r under this embedding in the Zariski topology of $\mathbb{P}^r$ is called the projective closure of V_r . The points of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ lying in the hyperplane $\{X_0 = 0\}$ are called the points of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ at infinity.

It is well-known that $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ is the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety of $\mathbb{P}^r$ defined by the homogenization $F^h \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ of each polynomial F belonging to the ideal $(R_1, \dots, R_m) \subset \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ (see, e.g., [Kun85, §I.5, Exercise 6]). Denote by $(R_1, \dots, R_m)^h$ the ideal generated by all the polynomials F^h with $F \in (R_1, \dots, R_m)$. Since $(R_1, \dots, R_m)$ is radical it turns out that $(R_1, \dots, R_m)^h$ is also a radical ideal (see, e.g., [Kun85, §I.5, Exercise 6]). Furthermore, $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ has pure dimension $r - m$ (see, e.g., [Kun85, Propositions I.5.17 and II.4.1]) and degree equal to $\deg V$ (see, e.g., [CGH91, Proposition 1.11]).

Now we discuss the behavior of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ at infinity. Consider the decomposition of each polynomial R_i into its homogeneous components, namely

$$R_i = R_i^{d_i} + R_i^{d_i-1} + \dots + R_i^0,$$

where each $R_i^j \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ is homogeneous of degree j or zero, $R_i^{d_i}$ being nonzero for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Hence, the homogenization of each R_i is the polynomial

$$(4.1) \quad R_i^h = R_i^{d_i} + R_i^{d_i-1} X_0 + \dots + R_i^0 X_0^{d_i}.$$

It follows that $R_i^h(0, X_1, \dots, X_r) = R_i^{d_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Next we relate each $R_i^{d_i}$ with the component S_i^{wt} of highest weight of S_i . Indeed, let $a_{i_1, \dots, i_s} Y_1^{i_1} \dots Y_s^{i_s}$ be an arbitrary monomial arising in the dense representation of S_i . Then its weight $\text{wt}(a_{i_1, \dots, i_s} Y_1^{i_1} \dots Y_s^{i_s}) = \sum_{j=1}^s j \cdot i_j$ equals the degree of the corresponding monomial $a_{i_1, \dots, i_s} \Pi_1^{i_1} \dots \Pi_s^{i_s}$ of R_i . Hence, we easily deduce the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $R_i^{d_i}$ be the homogeneous component of highest degree of R_i and S_i^{wt} the component of highest weight of S_i . Then $R_i^{d_i} = S_i^{\text{wt}}(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Let $\Sigma_r^\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be the singular locus of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ at infinity, namely the set of singular points of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ lying in the hyperplane $\{X_0 = 0\}$. From Lemma 4.1 we obtain critical information about Σ_r^∞ .

Lemma 4.2. *The singular locus $\Sigma_r^\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ at infinity has dimension at most $s - 2$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} := (0 : x_1 : \dots : x_r)$ be an arbitrary point of Σ_r^∞ . Since the polynomials R_i^h vanish identically in $\text{pcl}(V_r)$, we have $R_i^h(\mathbf{x}) = R_i^{d_i}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Denote by $(\partial \mathbf{R}^d / \partial \mathbf{X}) := (\partial R_i^{d_i} / \partial X_j)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq r}$ the Jacobian matrix of $R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}$ with respect to $X_1, \dots, X_r$. We claim that $(\partial \mathbf{R}^d / \partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x})$ does not have full rank. Indeed, if not, we would have that $\dim \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{x}}(\text{pcl}(V_r)) \leq r - m$, which implies that $\mathbf{x}$ is a nonsingular point of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$, contradicting thus the hypothesis on $\mathbf{x}$.

Lemma 4.1 asserts that $R_i^{d_i} = S_i^{\text{wt}}(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Therefore, combining the hypothesis (H₃) with Lemma 4.1 we deduce that the polynomials $R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.5. We conclude that the set of points $\mathbf{x}_{\text{aff}} := (0, x_1, \dots, x_r) \in V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}) \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ such that $(\partial \mathbf{R}^d / \partial \mathbf{X})(\mathbf{x}_{\text{aff}})$ does not have full rank, is an affine cone of $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ of dimension at most $s-1$. It follows that the projective variety Σ_r^∞ has dimension at most $s-2$. $\square$

Our next result concerns the projective variety $V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. This will allow us to obtain further information concerning to the behavior of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ at infinity.

Lemma 4.3. *$V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is absolutely irreducible of dimension $r-m-1$, degree at most $\prod_{i=1}^m d_i$ and singular locus of dimension at most $s-2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 we have $R_i^{d_i} = S_i^{\text{wt}}(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since the polynomials $S_1^{\text{wt}}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}}$ satisfy the hypotheses (H₁) and (H₂), by Lemma 3.4, Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.7 we see that the affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety of $\mathbb{A}^r$ defined by $R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}$ is a cone of pure dimension $r-m$, degree at most $\prod_{i=1}^m d_i$ and singular locus of dimension at most $s-1$. Therefore, the projective variety $V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ has pure dimension $r-m-1$, degree at most $\prod_{i=1}^m d_i$ and singular locus of dimension at most $s-2$. In particular, $V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m})$ is a set-theoretic complete intersection having a singular locus of codimension at least $r-m-1-s+2 \geq 3$. Then Theorem 2.1 shows that $V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m})$ is absolutely irreducible. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Now we are able to establish all the facts we need on the geometry of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ at infinity.

Theorem 4.4. *$\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is a complete intersection of dimension $r-m-1$, degree $\prod_{i=1}^m d_i$, which is regular in codimension $r-m-s \geq 2$.*

Proof. Recall that the projective variety $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ has pure dimension $r-m$. Hence, each irreducible component of $\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}$ has dimension at least $r-m-1$.

From (4.1) we deduce that $\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\} \subset V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m})$. By Lemma 4.3 we have that $V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m})$ is absolutely irreducible of dimension $r-m-1$. It follows that $\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}$ is also absolutely irreducible of dimension $r-m-1$, and thus,

$$\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\} = V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}).$$

According to Corollary 3.7, the polynomials $R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}$ define a radical ideal. We conclude that $V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m})$ is a complete intersection and the Bézout theorem implies

$$\deg(\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m d_i.$$

It remains to show the assertion concerning the regularity of $\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}$. From Lemma 4.3 we deduce that the singular locus of $\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}$ has dimension at most $s-2$. Therefore, $\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}$ is regular in codimension $r-m-1-(s-2)-1$. $\square$

We conclude this section with a statement that summarizes all the facts we need concerning the geometry of the projective closure $\text{pcl}(V_r)$.

Theorem 4.5. *The projective variety $\text{pcl}(V_r) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is a complete intersection of dimension $r-m$ and degree $\prod_{i=1}^r d_i$, which is regular in codimension $r-m-s \geq 2$.*

Proof. We already know that $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ is of pure dimension $r-m$. According to Theorem 3.5, the singular locus of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ lying in the open set $\{X_0 \neq 0\}$ has dimension at most $s-1$. On the other hand, by [GL02a, Lemma 1.1] the singular locus of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ at infinity is contained in the singular locus of $\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}$, and Theorem 4.4 shows that the singular locus of $\text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}$ has dimension at most $s-2$. We conclude that

the singular locus of $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ has dimension at most $s - 1$ and thus $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ is regular in codimension $r - m - (s - 1) - 1 = r - m - s$.

Observe that the following inclusions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} V(R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h) \cap \{X_0 \neq 0\} &\subset V(R_1, \dots, R_m), \\ V(R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h) \cap \{X_0 = 0\} &\subset V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3 proves that $V(R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is absolutely irreducible of dimension $r - m - 1$, while Lemma 3.4 shows that $V(R_1, \dots, R_m) \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ is of pure dimension $r - m$. We conclude that $V(R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h) \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ has dimension at most $r - m$. Since it is defined by m polynomials, we deduce that it is a set-theoretic complete intersection. Applying Theorem 3.5 to $R_1, \dots, R_m$, and to $R_1^{d_1}, \dots, R_m^{d_m}$, we see that the polynomials $R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h$ define a radical ideal and the singular locus of $V(R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h)$ has codimension at least $r - m - (s - 1) \geq 3$. Then Theorem 2.1 proves that $V(R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h)$ is absolutely irreducible.

On the other hand, we observe that $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ is contained in the projective variety $V(R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h)$. Moreover, since $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ is of pure dimension $r - m$, it follows that

$$(4.2) \quad \text{pcl}(V_r) = V(R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h).$$

Finally, since the polynomials $R_1^h, \dots, R_m^h$ define a radical ideal, (4.2) and the Bézout theorem prove that $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ is a complete intersection of degree $\prod_{i=1}^m d_i$. $\square$

4.2. Estimates on the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points. In what follows, we shall use an estimate on the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of a projective complete intersection defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ due to [CMP15a] (see [GL02a], [GL02b], [CM07a] and [MPP16] for further explicit estimates of this type). In [CMP15a, Corollary 8.4] the authors prove that, for a complete intersection $V \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, of dimension $r - m$ and multidegree $\mathbf{d} := (d_1, \dots, d_m)$, which is regular in codimension 2, the number $|V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of V satisfies

$$(4.3) \quad ||V(\mathbb{F}_q)| - p_{r-m}| \leq 14D^3\delta^2q^{r-m-1},$$

where $p_{r-m} := q^{r-m} + \dots + q + 1 = |\mathbb{P}^{r-m}(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, $\delta := d_1 \cdots d_m$ and $D := \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i - 1)$. Our aim is to apply (4.3) to estimate the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of the variety V_r defined by the polynomials of (3.1).

Now let $V_r \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ be the affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety defined by the polynomials $R_1, \dots, R_m$ of (3.1) and $V_{r,\infty} := \text{pcl}(V_r) \cap \{X_0 = 0\}$. Combining Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 with (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{pcl}(V_r)(\mathbb{F}_q)| - p_{r-m} &\leq 14D^3\delta^2q^{r-m-1}, \\ |V_{r,\infty}(\mathbb{F}_q)| - p_{r-m-1} &\leq 14D^3\delta^2q^{r-m-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $D := \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i - 1)$ and $\delta := \prod_{i=1}^m d_i$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} ||V_r(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{r-m}| &= ||\text{pcl}(V_r)(\mathbb{F}_q)| - |V_{r,\infty}(\mathbb{F}_q)| - p_{r-m} + p_{r-m-1}| \\ &\leq ||\text{pcl}(V_r)(\mathbb{F}_q)| - p_{r-m}| + ||V_{r,\infty}(\mathbb{F}_q)| - p_{r-m-1}| \\ &\leq 14D^3\delta^2(q+1)q^{r-m-2}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *Let s, r, m be positive integers with $m \leq s \leq r - m - 2$. Let $R_1, \dots, R_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ be polynomials defined as $R_i := S_i(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, where $S_1, \dots, S_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$ satisfy hypothesis (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) . Denote $d_i := \deg R_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $D := \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i - 1)$ and $\delta := \prod_{i=1}^m d_i$. If $V_r := V(R_1, \dots, R_m) \subset \mathbb{A}^r$, then the following estimate holds:*

$$||V_r(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{r-m}| \leq 14D^3\delta^2(q+1)q^{r-m-2}.$$

In the applications of the next sections not only estimates on the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of a given complete intersection are required, but also on the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points with certain pairwise-distinct coordinates, which is the subject of the next results.

Theorem 4.7. *With notations and assumptions as in Theorem 4.6, given i and j with $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ we have that $V_r \cap \{X_i = X_j\}$ is of pure dimension $r - m - 1$. In particular,*

$$|V_r(\mathbb{F}_q) \cap \{X_i = X_j\}| \leq \delta q^{r-m-1}.$$

Proof. Theorem 4.5 shows that $\text{pcl}(V_r)$ is a complete intersection which is regular in codimension 2. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 we conclude that it is absolutely irreducible. This implies that V_r is also absolutely irreducible.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $i = r - 1$ and $j = r$. Then $V_{r-1,r} := V_r \cap \{X_{r-1} = X_r\}$ may be seen as the subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{r-1}$ defined by the polynomials $R_1(\Pi_1^*, \dots, \Pi_s^*), \dots, R_m(\Pi_1^*, \dots, \Pi_s^*) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}]$, where $\Pi_i^* := \Pi_i(X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}, X_{r-1})$ is the polynomial obtained by substituting X_{r-1} for X_r in the i th elementary symmetric polynomial Π_i of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. Observe that

$$(4.4) \quad \Pi_i^* = \Pi_i^{r-2} + 2X_{r-1} \cdot \Pi_{i-1}^{r-2} + X_{r-1}^2 \cdot \Pi_{i-2}^{r-2}$$

where Π_j^{r-2} is the j th elementary symmetric polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_{r-2}]$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Let $\mathbf{\Pi}^* := (\Pi_1^*, \dots, \Pi_s^*)$ and denote by $(\partial \mathbf{\Pi}^* / \partial \mathbf{X}^*)$ the Jacobian matrix $\mathbf{\Pi}^*$ with respect to $X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}$. We observe that the set of points $\mathbf{x}$ of $V_{r-1,r}$ for which the Jacobian matrix $(\partial(\mathbf{R} \circ \mathbf{\Pi}^*) / \partial \mathbf{X}^*)(\mathbf{x})$ does not have maximal rank, has dimension at most s . Indeed, from (4.4) we conclude that the nonzero $(s \times s)$ -minor of the Jacobian matrix $(\partial \mathbf{\Pi}^* / \partial \mathbf{X}^*)$ determined by any choice $i_1, \dots, i_s$ of columns with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq r - 2$ equals the corresponding nonzero minor of $(\partial \Pi_i^{r-2} / \partial X_j)_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r-1}$. In particular, each such nonzero maximal minor of $(\partial \Pi_i^* / \partial X_j)_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r-2}$ is, up to a sign, a Vandermonde determinant depending on s of the indeterminates $X_1, \dots, X_{r-2}$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.5 we deduce that the set of points $\mathbf{x}$ of $V_{r-1,r}$ for which the Jacobian matrix $(\partial(\mathbf{R} \circ \mathbf{\Pi}^*) / \partial \mathbf{X}^*)(\mathbf{x})$ does not have maximal rank, is included in a union of linear varieties of dimension s (see Remark 3.6), and hence it has dimension at most s .

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any irreducible component of $V_{r-1,r}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has dimension at least $r - m - 1$. Since $r - m - 1 - s \geq 1$, for a generic point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ the Jacobian matrix $(\partial(\mathbf{R} \circ \mathbf{\Pi}^*) / \partial \mathbf{X}^*)(\mathbf{x})$ has maximal rank m . We conclude that the tangent space of $V_{r-1,r}$ at $\mathbf{x}$ has dimension at most $r - m - 1$, which implies that $\mathcal{C}$ has dimension $r - m - 1$. As a consequence, $V_{r-1,r}$ is of pure dimension $r - m - 1$, finishing thus the proof of the first assertion.

On the other hand, from the Bézout inequality (2.1) it follows that $\deg V_r \cap \{X_i = X_j\} \leq \deg V_r$. Then the second assertion readily follows from (2.3). $\square$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a subset of the set $\{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq r\}$ and $V_r^- \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ the variety defined as

$$V_r^- := \bigcup_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}} V_r \cap \{X_i = X_j\}.$$

Finally, denote $V_r^\neq := V_r \setminus V_r^-$. We have the following result.

Corollary 4.8. *With notations and assumptions as in Theorem 4.6, we have*

$$||V_r^\neq(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{r-m}| \leq 14D^3\delta^2(q+1)q^{r-m-2} + |\mathcal{I}|\delta q^{r-m-1}.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 4.7,

$$|V_r^-(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}} \delta q^{r-m-1} \leq |\mathcal{I}|\delta q^{r-m-1}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4.6 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} ||V_r^\neq(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{r-m}| &\leq ||V_r(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{r-m}| + |V_r^-(\mathbb{F}_q)| \\ &\leq 14D^3\delta^2(q+1)q^{r-m-2} + |\mathcal{I}|\delta q^{r-m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the corollary. $\square$

5. THE DISTRIBUTION OF FACTORIZATION PATTERNS

This section is devoted to the first application of the framework of the previous section, namely we obtain an estimate on the number $|\mathcal{A}_\lambda|$ of elements on a linear family $\mathcal{A}$ of monic polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree n having factorization pattern $\lambda := 1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$. Our estimate asserts that $|\mathcal{A}_\lambda| = \mathcal{T}(\lambda) q^{n-m} + \mathcal{O}(q^{n-m-1})$, where $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ is the proportion of elements of the symmetric group of n elements with cycle pattern λ and m is the codimension of $\mathcal{A}$. To this end, we reduce the question to estimate the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points with pairwise-distinct coordinates of a certain family of complete intersections defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. These complete intersections are defined by symmetric polynomials which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.8.

Let T be an indeterminate over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. For a positive integer n , let $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_n$ be the set of all monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree n . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be nonnegative integers such that

$$\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = n.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ the set of $f \in \mathcal{P}$ with factorization pattern $\lambda := 1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$, namely the elements $f \in \mathcal{P}$ having exactly λ_i monic irreducible factors over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree i (counted with multiplicity) for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Further, for any subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$ we shall denote $\mathcal{S}_\lambda := \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}_\lambda$.

In [Coh70], S. Cohen showed that the proportion of elements of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ in $\mathcal{P}$ is roughly the proportion $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ of permutations with cycle pattern λ in the n th symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_n$, where a permutation of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is said to have cycle pattern λ if it has exactly λ_i cycles of length i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. More precisely, Cohen proved that

$$|\mathcal{P}_\lambda| = \mathcal{T}(\lambda) q^n + \mathcal{O}(q^{n-1}),$$

where the constant underlying the $\mathcal{O}$ -notation depends only on λ . Observe that the number of permutations in $\mathbb{S}_n$ with cycle pattern λ is $n!/w(\lambda)$, where

$$w(\lambda) := 1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n} \lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{T}(\lambda) = 1/w(\lambda)$.

Further, in [Coh72] Cohen called $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$ *uniformly distributed* if the proportion $|\mathcal{S}_\lambda|/|\mathcal{S}|$ is roughly $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ for every factorization pattern λ . The main result of this paper ([Coh72, Theorem 3]) provides a criterion for a linear family $\mathcal{S}$ of polynomials of $\mathcal{P}$ to be uniformly distributed in the sense above. For any such linear family $\mathcal{S}$ of codimension $m \leq n-2$, assuming that the characteristic p of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is greater than n , it is shown that

$$(5.1) \quad |\mathcal{S}_\lambda| = \mathcal{T}(\lambda) q^{n-m} + \mathcal{O}(q^{n-m-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

A difficulty with [Coh72, Theorem 3] is that the hypotheses for a linear family of $\mathcal{P}$ to be uniformly distributed seem complicated and not easy to verify. We would also like to improve the asymptotic behavior of the error term $\mathcal{O}(q^{n-m-1/2})$ and remove restrictions on the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Finally, we are interested in explicit estimates, that is, an explicit admissible expression for the $\mathcal{O}$ -constant in (5.1).

For this purpose, we shall consider the linear families in $\mathcal{P}$ that we now describe. Let m, s be positive integers with $q > n$ and $m \leq s \leq n-m-2$, let $A_{n-s}, \dots, A_{n-1}$ be indeterminates over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ and let $L_1, \dots, L_m$ be linear forms of $\mathbb{F}_q[A_{n-s}, \dots, A_{n-1}]$ which are linearly independent. For $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, we set $\mathbf{L} := (L_1, \dots, L_m)$ and we consider the linear variety $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{L}, \alpha)$ defined in the following way:

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{A} := \{T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathcal{P} : \mathbf{L}(a_{n-s}, \dots, a_{n-1}) + \alpha = \mathbf{0}\}.$$

We may assume without loss of generality that the Jacobian matrix $(\partial \mathbf{L} / \partial \mathbf{A})$ is lower triangular in row echelon form and denote by $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq s$ the positions corresponding to the pivots. Given a factorization pattern $\lambda := 1^{\lambda_1} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$, our goal is to estimate the number $|\mathcal{A}_\lambda|$ of elements in $\mathcal{A}$ with factorization pattern λ .

5.1. Factorization patterns and roots. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_n$ be the linear family of (5.2) and $\lambda := 1^{\lambda_1} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$ a factorization pattern. Following the approach of [CMP15b], we shall show that the condition that an element of $\mathcal{A}$ has factorization pattern λ can be expressed in terms of certain elementary symmetric polynomials.

Let f be an element of $\mathcal{P}$ and $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ a monic irreducible factor of f of degree i . Then g is the minimal polynomial of a root α of f with $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$. Denote by $\mathbb{G}_i$ the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^i}, \mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We may express g in the following way:

$$g = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_i} (T - \sigma(\alpha)).$$

Hence, each irreducible factor g of f is uniquely determined by a root α of f (and its orbit under the action of the Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$), and this root belongs to a field extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $\deg g$. Now, for $f \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$, there are λ_1 roots of f in $\mathbb{F}_q$, say $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda_1}$ (counted with multiplicity), which are associated with the irreducible factors of f in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree 1; we may choose λ_2 roots of f in $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ (counted with multiplicity), say $\alpha_{\lambda_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$, which are associated with the λ_2 irreducible factors of f of degree 2, and so on. From now on we shall assume that a choice of $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$ roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_n}$ of f in $\mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ is made in such a way that each monic irreducible factor of f in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is associated with one and only one of these roots.

Our aim is to express the factorization of f into irreducible factors in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ in terms of the coordinates of the chosen $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$ roots of f with respect to certain bases of the corresponding extensions $\mathbb{F}_q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ as $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector spaces. To this end, we express the root associated with each irreducible factor of f of degree i in a normal basis Θ_i of the field extension $\mathbb{F}_q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$.

Let $\theta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ be a normal element and Θ_i the normal basis of the extension $\mathbb{F}_q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ generated by θ_i , i.e.,

$$\Theta_i = \left\{ \theta_i, \dots, \theta_i^{q^{i-1}} \right\}.$$

Observe that the Galois group $\mathbb{G}_i$ is cyclic and the Frobenius map $\sigma_i : \mathbb{F}_{q^i} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$, $\sigma_i(x) := x^q$ is a generator of $\mathbb{G}_i$. Thus, the coordinates in the basis Θ_i of all the elements in the orbit of a root $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ of an irreducible factor of f of degree i are the cyclic permutations of the coordinates of α_k in the basis Θ_i .

The vector that gathers the coordinates of all the roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_n}$ we chose to represent the irreducible factors of f in the normal bases $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_n$ is an element of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, which is denoted by $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Set

$$(5.3) \quad \ell_{i,j} := \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} k\lambda_k + (j-1)i$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Observe that the vector of coordinates of a root $\alpha_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{i-1}+j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ is the sub-array $(x_{\ell_{i,j}+1}, \dots, x_{\ell_{i,j}+i})$ of $\mathbf{x}$. With these notations, the λ_i irreducible factors of f of degree i are the polynomials

$$(5.4) \quad g_{i,j} = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_i} \left(T - (x_{\ell_{i,j}+1}\sigma(\theta_i) + \dots + x_{\ell_{i,j}+i}\sigma(\theta_i^{q^{i-1}})) \right)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$. In particular,

$$(5.5) \quad f = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} g_{i,j}.$$

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be indeterminates over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, set $\mathbf{X} := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and consider the polynomial $G \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}, T]$ defined as

$$(5.6) \quad G := \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} G_{i,j}, \quad G_{i,j} := \prod_{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_i} \left(T - (X_{\ell_{i,j}+1} \sigma(\theta_i) + \dots + X_{\ell_{i,j}+i} \sigma(\theta_i^{q^{i-1}})) \right),$$

where the $\ell_{i,j}$ are defined as in (5.3). Our previous arguments show that $f \in \mathcal{P}$ has factorization pattern λ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $f = G(\mathbf{x}, T)$.

Next we discuss how many elements $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ yield an arbitrary polynomial $f = G(\mathbf{x}, T) \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$, we have $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ if and only if its orbit under the action of the Galois group $\mathbb{G}_i$ has exactly i elements. In particular, if α is expressed by its coordinate vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^i$ in the normal basis Θ_i , then the coordinate vectors of the elements of the orbit of α form a cycle of length i , because the Frobenius map $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{G}_i$ permutes cyclically the coordinates. As a consequence, there is a bijection between cycles of length i in $\mathbb{F}_q^i$ and elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ with $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$.

To make this relation more precise, we introduce the notion of an array of type λ . Let $\ell_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$) be defined as in (5.3). We say that $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is of *type* λ if and only if each sub-array $\mathbf{x}_{i,j} := (x_{\ell_{i,j}+1}, \dots, x_{\ell_{i,j}+i})$ is a cycle of length i . The following result relates the quantity $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ with the set of elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ of type λ . The proof of this result is only sketched here (see [CMP15b, Lemma 2.2] for a full proof).

Lemma 5.1. *For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, the polynomial $f := G(\mathbf{x}, T)$ has factorization pattern λ if and only if $\mathbf{x}$ is of type λ . Furthermore, for each square-free polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$ there are $w(\lambda) := \prod_{i=1}^n i^{\lambda_i} \lambda_i!$ different $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $f = G(\mathbf{x}, T)$.*

Sketch of proof. Let $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_n$ be the normal bases introduced before. Each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is associated with a unique finite sequence of elements α_k ($1 \leq k \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$) as follows: each $\alpha_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$ is the element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^i}$ whose coordinate vector in the basis Θ_i is the sub-array $(x_{\ell_{i,j}+1}, \dots, x_{\ell_{i,j}+i})$ of $\mathbf{x}$. Suppose that $G(\mathbf{x}, T)$ has factorization pattern λ for a given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Fix (i, j) with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$. Then $G(\mathbf{x}, T)$ is factored as in (5.4)–(5.5), where each $g_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is irreducible, and hence $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + j}) = \mathbb{F}_{q^i}$. We conclude that the sub-array $(x_{\ell_{i,j}+1}, \dots, x_{\ell_{i,j}+i})$ defining $\alpha_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + j}$ is a cycle of length i . This proves that $\mathbf{x}$ is of type λ .

Furthermore, for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of type λ , the polynomial $f := G(\mathbf{x}, T) \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$ is square-free if and only if all the roots $\alpha_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{i-1} + j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$ are pairwise-distinct, non-conjugated elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^i}$. This implies that no cyclic permutation of a sub-array $(x_{\ell_{i,j}+1}, \dots, x_{\ell_{i,j}+i})$ with $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$ agrees with another cyclic permutation of another sub-array $(x_{\ell_{i,j'}+1}, \dots, x_{\ell_{i,j'}+i})$. As cyclic permutations of any of these sub-arrays and permutations of these sub-arrays yield elements of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ associated with the same polynomial f , there are $w(\lambda) := \prod_{i=1}^n i^{\lambda_i} \lambda_i!$ different elements $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $f = G(\mathbf{x}, T)$. $\square$

Consider the polynomial G defined in (5.6) as an element of $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}][T]$. We shall express the coefficients of G by means of the vector of linear forms $\mathbf{Y} := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, with $Y_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, defined in the following way:

$$(5.7) \quad (Y_{\ell_{i,j}+1}, \dots, Y_{\ell_{i,j}+i})^t := A_i \cdot (X_{\ell_{i,j}+1}, \dots, X_{\ell_{i,j}+i})^t \quad (1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n),$$

where $A_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^i}^{i \times i}$ is the matrix

$$A_i := \left(\sigma(\theta_i^{q^h}) \right)_{\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_i, 0 \leq h \leq i-1}.$$

According to (5.6), we may express the polynomial G as

$$G = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \prod_{k=1}^i (T - Y_{\ell_{i,j}+k}) = \prod_{k=1}^n (T - Y_k) = T^n + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k (\Pi_k(\mathbf{Y})) T^{n-k},$$

where $\Pi_1(\mathbf{Y}), \dots, \Pi_n(\mathbf{Y})$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{Y}]$. By the expression of G in (5.6) we deduce that G belongs to $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}, T]$, which in particular implies that $\Pi_k(\mathbf{Y})$ belongs to $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}]$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Combining these arguments with Lemma 5.1 we obtain the following result.

Lemma 5.2. *A polynomial $f := T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ has factorization pattern λ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of type λ such that*

$$(5.8) \quad a_k = (-1)^{n-k} \Pi_{n-k}(\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{x})) \quad (0 \leq k \leq n-1).$$

In particular, for f square-free, there are $w(\lambda)$ elements $\mathbf{x}$ for which (5.8) holds.

As a consequence, we may express the condition that an element of $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{L}, \alpha)$ has factorization pattern λ in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{Y}]$.

Corollary 5.3. *A polynomial $f := T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ has factorization pattern λ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of type λ such that (5.8) and*

$$(5.9) \quad L_j((-1)^s \Pi_s(\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{x})), \dots, -\Pi_1(\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{x}))) + \alpha_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq m)$$

hold. In particular, if $f := G(\mathbf{x}, T) \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda$ is square-free, then there are $w(\lambda)$ elements $\mathbf{x}$ for which (5.9) holds.

5.2. The number of polynomials in $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$. Given a factorization pattern $\lambda := 1^{\lambda_1} \dots n^{\lambda_n}$, consider the set $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ of elements of the family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}$ of (5.2) having factorization pattern λ . In this section we estimate the number of elements of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$. For this purpose, in Corollary 5.3 we associate to $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$ the following polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}]$:

$$(5.10) \quad R_j := R_j^\lambda := L_j((-1)^s \Pi_s(\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{X})), \dots, -\Pi_1(\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{X}))) + \alpha_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq m).$$

Up to the linear change of coordinates defined by $\mathbf{Y} := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, where $\mathbf{Y}$ is the vector of linear forms of $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}]$ defined in (5.7), we may express each R_j as a linear polynomial in the first s elementary symmetric polynomials $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{Y}]$. More precisely, let $Z_1, \dots, Z_s$ be new indeterminates over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then we may write

$$R_j = S_j(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s) \quad (1 \leq j \leq m),$$

where $S_1, \dots, S_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[Z_1, \dots, Z_s]$ are defined as $S_j := L_j((-1)^s Z_s, \dots, -Z_1) + \alpha_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$).

We observe that $S_1, \dots, S_m$ are elements of degree 1 whose homogeneous components of degree 1 are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}_q[Z_1, \dots, Z_s]$. It follows that the Jacobian matrix $(\partial \mathbf{S} / \partial \mathbf{Z})(\mathbf{z})$ of $\mathbf{S} := (S_1, \dots, S_m)$ with respect to $\mathbf{Z} := (Z_1, \dots, Z_s)$ has full rank m for every $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{A}^s$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that $S_1, \dots, S_m$ form a regular sequence. In other words, $S_1, \dots, S_m$ satisfy hypotheses (H_1) and (H_2) of Section 3.

On the other hand, by assumption the Jacobian matrix $(\partial \mathbf{S} / \partial \mathbf{Z})$ is lower triangular in row-echelon form, $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq s$ denoting the positions corresponding to the pivots. This shows that the components $S_1^{\text{wt}} = c_1 Z_{i_1}, \dots, S_m^{\text{wt}} = c_m Z_{i_m}$ of highest weight of $S_1, \dots, S_m$ are linearly independent homogeneous polynomials of degree 1. Hence, $S_1, \dots, S_m$ satisfy hypothesis (H_3) . Finally, as the integers m, n and s satisfy the inequalities $m \leq s \leq n - m - 2$, we are able to apply Theorem 4.6.

Taking into account that $\deg(R_j) = i_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), by the previous considerations and Corollary 4.8 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.4. *Let s, m and n be positive integers with $m \leq s \leq n - m - 2$, and let $R_1, \dots, R_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be the polynomials defined in (5.10). Then there exist polynomials $S_1, \dots, S_m \in \mathbb{F}_q[Z_1, \dots, Z_s]$ satisfying hypothesis (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) for which*

$R_i := S_i(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s)$ holds ($1 \leq i \leq m$). Furthermore, let $V := V(R_1, \dots, R_m) \subset \mathbb{A}^n$, let

$$V^= := \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq \lambda_i, 1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq i}} V \cap \{Y_{\ell_{i,j_1}+k_1} = Y_{\ell_{i,j_2}+k_2}\},$$

and $V^\neq := V \setminus V^=$, where $Y_{\ell_{i,j}+k}$ are the linear forms of (5.7). Then

$$||V^\neq(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{n-m}| \leq 14D_{\mathbf{L}}^3 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}^2 (q+1) q^{n-m-2} + n^2 \delta_{\mathbf{L}} q^{n-m-1},$$

where $D_{\mathbf{L}} := \sum_{j=1}^m (i_j - 1)$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{L}} := i_1 \cdots i_m$.

Corollary 5.3 relates the number $|V(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ of common $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zeros of $R_1, \dots, R_m$ to the quantity $|\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}|$. More precisely, let $\mathbf{x} := (\mathbf{x}_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zero of $R_1, \dots, R_m$ of type $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Then $\mathbf{x}$ is associated with an element $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ having $Y_{\ell_{i,j}+k}(\mathbf{x}_{i,j})$ as an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -root for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$ and $1 \leq k \leq i$, where, $Y_{\ell_{i,j}+k}$ is the linear form defined as in (5.7).

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq} := \{f \in \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} : f \text{ is square-free}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{nsq} := \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq}$. Corollary 5.3 further asserts that any element $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq}$ is associated with $w(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \prod_{i=1}^n i^{\lambda_i} \lambda_i!$ common $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zeros of $R_1, \dots, R_m$ of type $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Observe that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is of type $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ if and only if $Y_{\ell_{i,j}+k_1}(\mathbf{x}) \neq Y_{\ell_{i,j}+k_2}(\mathbf{x})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$ and $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq i$. Furthermore, an $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of type $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is associated with $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq}$ if and only if $Y_{\ell_{i,j_1}+k_1}(\mathbf{x}) \neq Y_{\ell_{i,j_2}+k_2}(\mathbf{x})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq \lambda_i$ and $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq i$. As a consequence, we see that $|\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq}| = \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) |V^\neq(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, which implies

$$||\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq}| - \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) q^{n-m}| = \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) ||V^\neq(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{n-m}|.$$

From Theorem 5.4 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq}| - \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) q^{n-m}| &\leq \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) (14 D_{\mathbf{L}}^3 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}^2 (q+1) q^{n-m-2} + n^2 \delta_{\mathbf{L}} q^{n-m-1}) \\ &\leq q^{n-m-1} \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) (21 D_{\mathbf{L}}^3 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}^2 + n^2 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we are able to estimate $|\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}|$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.11) \quad ||\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}| - \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) q^{n-m}| &= ||\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq}| + |\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{nsq}| - \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) q^{n-m}| \\ &\leq q^{n-m-1} \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) (21 D_{\mathbf{L}}^3 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}^2 + n^2 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}) + |\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{nsq}|. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to obtain an upper bound for $|\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{nsq}|$. To this end, we observe that $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is not square-free if and only if its discriminant is equal to zero. Let $\mathcal{A}^{nsq}$ be *discriminant locus* of $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., the set of elements of $\mathcal{A}$ whose discriminant is equal to zero. In [FS84] and [MPP14] discriminant loci are studied. In particular, from [FS84] one easily deduces that the discriminant locus $\mathcal{A}^{nsq}$ is the set of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of a hypersurface of degree at most $n(n-1)$ of a suitable $(n-m)$ -dimensional affine space. Then (2.3) implies

$$(5.12) \quad |\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{nsq}| \leq |\mathcal{A}^{nsq}| \leq n(n-1) q^{n-m-1}.$$

Hence, combining (5.11) and (5.12) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}| - \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) q^{n-m}| &\leq \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) ||V(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{n-m}| + n^2 q^{n-m-1} \\ &\leq q^{n-m-1} (21 \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) D_{\mathbf{L}}^3 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}^2 + \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) n^2 \delta_{\mathbf{L}} + n^2). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.5. *For $q > n$ and $m \leq s \leq n-m-2$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{sq}| - \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) q^{n-m}| &\leq q^{n-m-1} \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) (21 D_{\mathbf{L}}^3 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}^2 + n^2 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}), \\ ||\mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}| - \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) q^{n-m}| &\leq q^{n-m-1} (21 \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) D_{\mathbf{L}}^3 \delta_{\mathbf{L}}^2 + \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) n^2 \delta_{\mathbf{L}} + n^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\mathbf{L}} := i_1 \cdots i_m$ and $D_{\mathbf{L}} := \sum_{j=1}^m (i_j - 1)$.

This result strengthens (5.1) in several aspects. The first one is that the hypotheses on the linear families $\mathcal{A}$ in the statement of Theorem 5.5 are easy to verify. The second aspect is that our error term is of order $\mathcal{O}(q^{n-m-1})$, and we provide explicit expressions for the constants underlying the $\mathcal{O}$ -notation with a good behavior. Furthermore, our result is valid without any restriction on the characteristic p of $\mathbb{F}_q$. On the other hand, Theorem 5.5 holds for $m \leq n/2 - 1$, while (5.1) holds for m varying in a much larger range of values.

A classical case which has received particular attention is that of the elements of $\mathcal{P}$ having certain coefficients prescribed. Therefore, we briefly state what we obtain in this case. For this purpose, given $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ and $\alpha := (\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_m}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, set $\mathcal{I} := \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ and consider the set $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}} := \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}, \alpha)$ defined in the following way:

$$(5.13) \quad \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}} := \{T^n + a_1 T^{n-1} + \dots + a_n \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] : a_{i_j} = \alpha_{i_j} \ (1 \leq j \leq m)\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}, sq}$ the set of $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}}$ which are square-free.

For a given factorization pattern λ , let $G \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}, T]$ be the polynomial of (5.6). According to Lemma 5.2, an element $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}}$ has factorization pattern λ if and only if there exists $\mathbf{x}$ of type λ such that

$$(-1)^{i_j} \Pi_{i_j}(\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{x})) = \alpha_{i_j} \quad (1 \leq j \leq m).$$

Let $\delta_{\mathcal{I}} := i_1 \dots i_m$ and $D_{\mathcal{I}} := \sum_{j=1}^m (i_j - 1)$. From Theorem 5.5 we deduce the following result.

Corollary 5.6. *For $q > n$ and $i_m \leq n - m - 2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{I}, sq}| - \mathcal{T}(\lambda) q^{n-m} &\leq q^{n-m-1} \mathcal{T}(\lambda) (21 D_{\mathcal{I}}^3 \delta_{\mathcal{I}}^2 + n^2 \delta_{\mathcal{I}}), \\ |\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{\mathcal{I}}| - \mathcal{T}(\lambda) q^{n-m} &\leq q^{n-m-1} (21 \mathcal{T}(\lambda) D_{\mathcal{I}}^3 \delta_{\mathcal{I}}^2 + \mathcal{T}(\lambda) n^2 \delta_{\mathcal{I}} + n^2). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, for the sake of simplicity, the enumeration of coefficients of the elements in the family $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}}$ of (5.13) is changed with respect to that of the family $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{L}, \alpha)$ of (5.2). Therefore, the conditions $m \leq s \leq n - m - 2$ in Theorem 5.5 are now expressed as $i_m \leq n - m - 2$.

6. THE AVERAGE CARDINALITY OF VALUE SETS

Next we consider the problem of estimating the average cardinality of value sets of families of univariate polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$. More precisely, in this section we estimate the average cardinality of the value set of any family of monic polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree n for which s consecutive coefficients $a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-s}$ are fixed.

As we said before, our approach reduces the question to estimate the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points with pairwise-distinct coordinates of a certain family of complete intersections defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. As the polynomials defining such complete intersections are symmetric, we shall be able to apply Corollary 4.8 to obtain a suitable estimate on the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of these complete intersections.

We begin by recalling the basic notions and the previous results related to the study of the cardinality of value sets of univariate polynomials defined over a finite field.

Let T an indeterminate over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$. We denote by $\mathcal{V}(f)$ the cardinality of the value set of f , namely $\mathcal{V}(f) := |\{f(c) : c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}|$ (cf. [LN83]). The quantity $\mathcal{V}(f)$ has been extensively studied for arbitrary polynomials. Exact formulas for $\mathcal{V}(f)$ are established for certain particular classes of polynomials (for example, polynomials of low degree).

Concerning the behavior of $\mathcal{V}(f)$ for “large” sets of elements of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$, Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer established the following significant result [BS59]: for fixed $n \geq 1$, if f is a generic polynomial of degree n , then

$$\mathcal{V}(f) = \mu_n q + \mathcal{O}(q^{1/2}),$$

where $\mu_n := \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r-1} / r!$ and the constant underlying the $\mathcal{O}$ -notation depends only on n . Results on the average value $\mathcal{V}(n, 0)$ of $\mathcal{V}(f)$ when f ranges over all monic polynomials

in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree n with $f(0) = 0$ were obtained by Uchiyama [Uch55] and improved by Cohen [Coh73]. More precisely, in [Coh73, §2] it is shown that

$$\mathcal{V}(n, 0) = \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{r-1} \binom{q}{r} q^{1-r} = \mu_n q + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

A variant of this problem, considered by Uchiyama and Cohen, asks for results on the average cardinality of the value set of the set of polynomials $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of given degree where some coefficients are fixed. For this problem, in [Uch55] and [Coh72] the authors obtain the following result. Consider the family of monic polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree n , where s consecutive coefficients are fixed, with $1 \leq s \leq n-2$. More precisely, denote by $\mathbf{a} := (a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-s}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^s$ the vector of values of the coefficients to be fixed and consider the set of $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ of the form

$$f_{\mathbf{b}} := f_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}} := T^n + \sum_{i=1}^s a_{n-i} T^{n-i} + \sum_{i=s+1}^n b_{n-i} T^{n-i}$$

for every $\mathbf{b} := (b_{n-s-1}, \dots, b_0)$. Then for $p := \text{char}(\mathbb{F}_q) > n$,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a}) := \frac{1}{q^{n-s}} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}} \mathcal{V}(f_{\mathbf{b}}) = \mu_n q + \mathcal{O}(q^{1/2}),$$

where the constant underlying the $\mathcal{O}$ -notation depends only on n and s . In this section we obtain an strengthened explicit version of (6.1), which holds without any restriction on the characteristic p of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

6.1. Value sets in terms of interpolating sets. Given $\mathbf{a} := (a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-s}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^s$, let

$$f_{\mathbf{a}} := T^n + a_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-s} T^{n-s}.$$

Then we consider the set $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(n, s, \mathbf{a})$ defined in the following way:

$$\mathcal{A} := \{f_{\mathbf{b}} := f_{\mathbf{a}} + b_{n-s-1} T^{n-s-1} + \dots + b_0 : \mathbf{b} := (b_{n-s-1}, \dots, b_0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}\}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a})$ is the average value of $\mathcal{V}(f)$ when f ranges over elements of $\mathcal{A}$, that is,

$$\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a}) := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{V}(f) = \frac{1}{q^{n-s}} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}} \mathcal{V}(f_{\mathbf{b}}).$$

Following [CMPP14], in order to estimate $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a})$ we express this quantity in terms of the number $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$ of certain “interpolating sets” with $n-s+1 \leq r \leq n$.

Observe that for any $\mathbf{b} := (b_{n-s-1}, \dots, b_0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}$, the cardinality $\mathcal{V}(f_{\mathbf{b}})$ of the value set of $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ equals the number of elements $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for which $f_{\mathbf{b}} + \beta_0$ has at least one root in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Denote by $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_n$ the set of monic polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree n , let $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the random variable which counts the number of roots in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} > 0\}} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ the characteristic function of the set of elements of $\mathcal{P}$ having at least one root in $\mathbb{F}_q$. From the assertions above we deduce the following identity:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}} \mathcal{V}(f_{\mathbf{b}}) = \sum_{\beta_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} > 0\}}(f_{\mathbf{b}} + \beta_0) = q \cdot |\{g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{n-s-1} : \mathcal{N}(f_{\mathbf{a}} + g) > 0\}|,$$

where $\mathbb{F}_q[T]_{n-s-1}$ is the set of elements of $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of degree at most $n-s-1$. For $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$, we define $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathbf{a}}$ as the set of $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{n-s-1}$ which interpolate $-f_{\mathbf{a}}$ at all the points of $\mathcal{X}$, namely

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathbf{a}} := \{g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{n-s-1} : (f_{\mathbf{a}} + g)(x) = 0 \text{ for any } x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we shall use the symbol $\mathcal{X}_r$ to denote a subset of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of r elements.

With this terminology, we have the following combinatorial expression of $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a})$, whose proof can be found in [CMPP14, Theorem 2.1].

Proposition 6.1. *Given $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq s \leq n-1$, we have*

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{r=1}^{n-s} (-1)^{r-1} \binom{q}{r} q^{1-r} + \frac{1}{q^{n-s-1}} \sum_{r=n-s+1}^n (-1)^{r-1} \chi(\mathbf{a}, r),$$

where $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$ is the number of subsets $\mathcal{X}_r$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of r elements such that there exists $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{n-s-1}$ with $(f_{\mathbf{a}} + g)|_{\mathcal{X}_r} \equiv 0$.

According to this result, the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a})$ is determined by that of $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$ for $n-s+1 \leq r \leq n$. We shall show that each $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$ can be expressed as the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points with pairwise-distinct coordinates of an affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety defined by symmetric polynomials.

6.2. The number $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$ in terms of zeros of symmetric polynomials. Fix $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}$ and r with $n-s+1 \leq r \leq n$. To estimate $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$, we follow the approach of [CMPP14].

Fix a set $\mathcal{X}_r := \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ of r elements and $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]_{n-s-1}$. Then g belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}_r}^{\mathbf{a}}$ if and only if $(T-x_1) \cdots (T-x_r)$ divides $f_{\mathbf{a}} + g$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$. Since $\deg g \leq n-s-1 < r$, we deduce that $-g$ is the remainder of the division of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ by $(T-x_1) \cdots (T-x_r)$. In other words, the set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}_r}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is not empty if and only if the remainder of the division of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ by $(T-x_1) \cdots (T-x_r)$ has degree at most $n-s-1$.

Let $X_1, \dots, X_r$ be indeterminates over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, let $\mathbf{X} := (X_1, \dots, X_r)$ and

$$Q = (T - X_1) \cdots (T - X_r) \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}][T].$$

There exists $R_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{X}][T]$ with $\deg R_{\mathbf{a}} \leq r-1$ such that the following relation holds:

$$f_{\mathbf{a}} \equiv R_{\mathbf{a}} \pmod{Q}.$$

Write $R_{\mathbf{a}} = R_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{X})T^{r-1} + \cdots + R_0^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{X})$. Then $R_{\mathbf{a}}(x_1, \dots, x_r, T) \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is the remainder of the division of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ by $(T-x_1) \cdots (T-x_r)$. As a consequence, the set $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}_r}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is not empty if and only if the following identities hold:

$$(6.3) \quad R_j^{\mathbf{a}}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0 \quad (n-s \leq j \leq r-1).$$

On the other hand, if there exists $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{F}_q^r$ with pairwise-distinct coordinates such that (6.3) holds, then the remainder of the division of $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ by $Q(\mathbf{x}, T) = (T-x_1) \cdots (T-x_r)$ is a polynomial $r_{\mathbf{a}} := R_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}, T)$ of degree at most $n-s-1$. This shows that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}_r}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is not empty, where $\mathcal{X}_r := \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$. In other words, we have the following result.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq s \leq n-2$, let $R_j^{\mathbf{a}}$ ($n-s \leq j \leq r-1$) be the polynomials of (6.3) and let $\mathcal{X}_r := \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ be a set with r elements. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}_r}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is not empty if and only if (6.3) holds.*

It follows that the number $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$ of sets $\mathcal{X}_r \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ of r elements such that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{X}_r}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is not empty equals the number of points $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{F}_q^r$ with pairwise-distinct coordinates satisfying (6.3), up to permutations of coordinates, namely $1/r!$ times the number of solutions $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^r$ of the following system of equalities and non-equalities:

$$R_j^{\mathbf{a}}(X_1, \dots, X_r) = 0 \quad (n-s \leq j \leq r-1), \quad \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (X_i - X_j) \neq 0.$$

Fix r with $n-s+1 \leq r \leq n$ and assume that $2(s+1) \leq n$ holds. Next we show how the polynomials $R_j^{\mathbf{a}}$ can be expressed in terms of the elementary symmetric polynomials $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. This is the content of [CMPP14, Lemma 2.3] and [CMPP14, Proposition 2.4], whose proofs are sketched here to illustrate the way in which the elementary symmetric polynomials enter into play.

The first step is to obtain an expression for the remainder of the division of T^j by $Q := (T - X_1) \cdots (T - X_r)$ for $r \leq j \leq n$. For convenience of notation, we denote $\Pi_0 := 1$.

Lemma 6.3. *For $r \leq j \leq n$, the following congruence relation holds:*

$$(6.4) \quad T^j \equiv H_{r-1,j}T^{r-1} + H_{r-2,j}T^{r-2} + \cdots + H_{0,j} \pmod{Q},$$

where each $H_{i,j}$ is equal to zero or an homogeneous element of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ of degree $j - i$. Furthermore, for $j - i \leq r$, the polynomial $H_{i,j}$ is a monic element of $\mathbb{F}_q[\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_{j-i-1}][\Pi_{j-i}]$, up to a nonzero constant of $\mathbb{F}_q$, of degree 1 in Π_{j-i} .

Proof. We argue by induction on $j \geq r$. Taking into account that

$$(6.5) \quad T^r \equiv \Pi_1 T^{r-1} - \Pi_2 T^{r-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{r-1} \Pi_r \pmod{Q},$$

we immediately deduce (6.4) for $j = r$. Next assume that (6.4) holds for a given j with $r \leq j$. Multiplying both sides of (6.4) by T and combining with (6.5) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{j+1} &\equiv H_{r-1,j}T^r + H_{r-2,j}T^{r-1} + \cdots + H_{0,j}T \\ &\equiv (\Pi_1 H_{r-1,j} + H_{r-2,j})T^{r-1} + \cdots + ((-1)^{r-2} \Pi_{r-1} H_{r-1,j} + H_{0,j})T \\ &\quad + (-1)^{r-1} \Pi_r H_{r-1,j}, \end{aligned}$$

where both congruences are taken modulo Q . Define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k,j+1} &:= (-1)^{r-1-k} \Pi_{r-k} H_{r-1,j} + H_{k-1,j} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq r-1, \\ H_{0,j+1} &:= (-1)^{r-1} \Pi_r H_{r-1,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$T^{j+1} \equiv H_{r-1,j+1}T^{r-1} + H_{r-2,j+1}T^{r-2} + \cdots + H_{0,j+1} \pmod{Q}.$$

It can be seen that the polynomials $H_{k,j+1}$ have the form asserted (see the proof of [CMPP14, Lemma 2.3] for details). $\square$

Finally we express each R_j^a in terms of the polynomials $H_{i,j}$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq s \leq n-2$ and $2(s+1) \leq n$. For $n-s \leq j \leq r-1$, the following identity holds:*

$$(6.6) \quad R_j^a = a_j + \sum_{i=r}^n a_i H_{j,i},$$

where the polynomials $H_{j,i}$ are defined in Lemma 6.3. In particular, R_j^a is a monic element of $\mathbb{F}_q[\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_{n-1-j}][\Pi_{n-j}]$ of degree $n-j \leq s$ for $n-s \leq j \leq r-1$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.3 we have the following congruence relation for $r \leq j \leq n$:

$$T^j \equiv H_{r-1,j}T^{r-1} + H_{r-2,j}T^{r-2} + \cdots + H_{0,j} \pmod{Q}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=n-s}^n a_j T^j &= \sum_{j=n-s}^{r-1} a_j T^j + \sum_{j=r}^n a_j T^j \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=n-s}^{r-1} a_j T^j + \sum_{j=r}^n a_j \sum_{i=n-s}^{r-1} H_{i,j} T^i + \mathcal{O}(T^{n-s-1}) \pmod{Q} \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=n-s}^{r-1} \left(a_j + \sum_{i=r}^n a_i H_{j,i} \right) T^j + \mathcal{O}(T^{n-s-1}) \pmod{Q}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(T^{n-s-1})$ represents a sum of terms of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r][T]$ of degree at most $n-s-1$ in T . This shows that the polynomials R_j^a have the form asserted in (6.6). Furthermore, we observe that, for each $H_{j,i}$ occurring in (6.6), we have $i-j \leq s \leq n-s-2 \leq r$. This implies that each $H_{j,i}$ is a monic element of $\mathbb{F}_q[\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_{i-j-1}][\Pi_{i-j}]$ of degree $i-j$. It follows that R_j^a is a monic element of $\mathbb{F}_q[\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_{n-1-j}][\Pi_{n-j}]$ of degree $n-j$ for $n-s \leq j \leq r-1$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

6.3. An estimate for $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a})$. Proposition 6.1 shows that the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a})$ is determined by that of $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$ for $n-s+1 \leq r \leq n$. Fix r with $n-s+1 \leq r \leq n$. In Section 6.2 we associate to $\mathbf{a}$ certain polynomials $R_j^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ ($n-s \leq j \leq r-1$) with the property that the number of common $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational zeros of $R_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, R_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}}$ with pairwise distinct coordinates equals $r! \chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$, namely

$$\chi(\mathbf{a}, r) = \frac{1}{r!} \left| \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^r : R_j^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \ (n-s \leq j \leq r-1), x_k \neq x_l \ (1 \leq k < l \leq r) \right\} \right|.$$

According to Proposition 6.4, each $R_j^{\mathbf{a}}$ can be expressed as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric polynomials $\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s$ of $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. More precisely, if $Y_1, \dots, Y_s$ are new indeterminates over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, then we may write

$$R_j^{\mathbf{a}} = S_j^{\mathbf{a}}(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_{n-j}) \quad (n-s \leq j \leq r-1),$$

where each $S_j^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-j}]$ is a monic element of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-j}][Y_{n-j}]$ of degree 1 in Y_{n-j} , up to a nonzero constant of $\mathbb{F}_q$. In particular, it is easy to that

$$(6.7) \quad \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y_1, \dots, Y_s] / (S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, S_j^{\mathbf{a}}) \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-j-1}]$$

for $n-s \leq j \leq r-1$. Hence, $S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, S_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}}$ form a regular sequence of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$, namely these polynomials satisfy hypothesis (H₁) of Section 3.

Further, by the isomorphism (6.7) for $j = r-1$ we deduce that $S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, S_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}}$ form a radical ideal of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_s]$ and the affine $\mathbb{F}_q$ -variety $W_r^{\mathbf{a}} \subset \mathbb{A}^s$ that they define is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{n-r}$. We conclude that $W_r^{\mathbf{a}}$ is a nonsingular variety and $(\partial S^{\mathbf{a}} / \partial \mathbf{Y})(\mathbf{y})$ has full rank for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{A}^s$, that is, $S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, S_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfy (H₂).

Finally, we show that the polynomials $S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, S_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfy (H₃). Lemma 6.3 and Proposition 6.4 imply that the homogeneous component of highest degree of each $R_j^{\mathbf{a}}$ is $a_n H_{j,n}$ for $n-s \leq j \leq r-1$. Lemma 4.1 shows that $a_n H_{j,n} = S_j^{\mathbf{a}, \text{wt}}(\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_s)$, where $S_j^{\mathbf{a}, \text{wt}}$ is the component of highest weight of $S_j^{\mathbf{a}}$. Since $H_{j,n}$ is a monic element of $\mathbb{F}_q[\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_{n-j-1}][\Pi_{n-j}]$ of degree 1 in Π_{n-j} , it follows that $S_j^{\mathbf{a}, \text{wt}}$ is an element of $\mathbb{F}_q[Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-j-1}][Y_{n-j}]$ of degree 1 in Y_{n-j} . As a consequence,

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y_1, \dots, Y_s] / (S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}, \text{wt}}, \dots, S_j^{\mathbf{a}, \text{wt}}) \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-j-1}]$$

for $n-s \leq j \leq r-1$. Arguing as above we conclude that $S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}, \text{wt}}, \dots, S_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}, \text{wt}}$ satisfy (H₁) and (H₂), namely $S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, S_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfy (H₃).

Let $V_r^{\mathbf{a}} \subset \mathbb{A}^r$ be the affine variety defined by $R_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, R_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. Since $r-n+s \leq s \leq n-s-2$ and the polynomials $S_{n-s}^{\mathbf{a}}, \dots, S_{r-1}^{\mathbf{a}}$ satisfy (H₁), (H₂) and (H₃), we can apply Corollary 4.8 in this situation. More precisely, let $V_{r,=}^{\mathbf{a}}$ be the set of points of $V_r^{\mathbf{a}}$ with at least two distinct coordinates taking the same value, namely

$$V_{r,=}^{\mathbf{a}} := \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} V_r^{\mathbf{a}} \cap \{X_i = X_j\},$$

and set $V_{r,\neq}^{\mathbf{a}} := V_r^{\mathbf{a}} \setminus V_{r,=}^{\mathbf{a}}$. By Corollary 4.8 we deduce that

$$(6.8) \quad \left| |V_{r,\neq}^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{n-s} \right| \leq 14D_r^3 \delta_r^2 (q+1) q^{n-s-2} + \binom{r}{2} \delta_r q^{n-s-1},$$

where $D_r := \sum_{j=n-r+1}^s (j-1)$ and $\delta_r = \prod_{j=n-r+1}^s j = s! / (n-r)!$. From (6.8) we obtain the following estimate for $\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)$, which constitutes the essential step in order to determine the asymptotic behavior of $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a})$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let n, r, s be integers with $1 \leq s \leq n-2$ and $2(s+1) \leq n$. For $n-s+1 \leq r \leq n$ we have*

$$\left| \chi(\mathbf{a}, r) - \frac{q^{n-s}}{r!} \right| \leq \frac{r(r-1)}{2r!} \delta_r q^{n-s-1} + \frac{14}{r!} D_r^3 \delta_r^2 (q+1) q^{n-s-2},$$

where $D_r := \sum_{j=n-r+1}^s (j-1)$ and $\delta_r = \prod_{j=n-r+1}^s j = s! / (n-r)!$.

Finally, combining Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 6.5 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 6.6. *With assumptions as in Theorem 6.5, we have*

$$\left| \mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a}) - \mu_n q - \frac{1}{2e} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2(n-s-1)!} + \frac{7}{q} + \sum_{r=n-s+1}^n \left(\frac{r(r-1)}{2r!} \delta_r + \frac{14}{r!} D_r^3 \delta_r^2 (1+q^{-1}) \right).$$

Proof. From Proposition 6.1 we deduce that

$$\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a}) - \mu_n q = \sum_{r=1}^{n-s} (-1)^{r-1} \left(\binom{q}{r} q^{1-r} - \frac{q}{r!} \right) + \sum_{r=n-s+1}^n (-1)^{r-1} \left(\frac{\chi(\mathbf{a}, r)}{q^{n-s-1}} - \frac{q}{r!} \right).$$

By elementary calculations it can be seen that (see [CMPP14, Corollary 4.2] for details)

$$\left| \sum_{r=1}^{n-s} (-1)^{r-1} \left(\binom{q}{r} q^{1-r} - \frac{q}{r!} \right) - \frac{1}{2e} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2(n-s-1)!} + \frac{7}{q}.$$

Therefore, the corollary readily follows from Theorem 6.5. $\square$

Finally, an analysis of the sum in the right-hand side of the estimate of Corollary 6.6 yields the following result, whose proof can be seen in [CMPP14, §4.2].

Theorem 6.7. *With assumptions as in Theorem 6.5, we have*

$$\left| \mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a}) - \mu_n q - \frac{1}{2e} \right| \leq \frac{(n-2)^5 e^{2\sqrt{n}}}{2^{n-2}} + \frac{7}{q}.$$

Concerning the behavior of the bound of Theorem 6.7, let $f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(n) := e^{2\sqrt{n}}(n-2)^5 2^{-n}$. Then f is a unimodal function which reaches its maximum value at $n_0 := 14$, namely $f(n_0) \approx 1.08 \cdot 10^5$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(n) = 0$, and indeed for $n \geq 51$, we have $f(n) < 1$.

This result constitutes an improvement of (6.1) in several aspects. The first one is that it holds without any restriction on the characteristic p of $\mathbb{F}_q$, while (6.1) holds for $p > n$. The second aspect is that we show that $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a}) = \mu_n q + \mathcal{O}(1)$, while (6.1) only asserts that $\mathcal{V}(n, s, \mathbf{a}) = \mu_n q + \mathcal{O}(q^{1/2})$. Finally, we obtain an explicit expression for the constant underlying the $\mathcal{O}$ -notation with a good behavior. On the other hand, it must be said that our result holds for $s \leq n/2 - 1$, while (6.1) holds for s varying in a larger range of values.

REFERENCES

- [AR10] Y. Aubry and F. Rodier, *Differentially 4-uniform functions*, Arithmetic, Geometry, Cryptography and Coding Theory 2009 (Providence, RI) (D. Kohel and R. Rolland, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 521, Amer. Math. Soc., 2010, pp. 1–8.
- [BBR15] E. Bank, L. Bary-Soroker, and L. Rosenzweig, *Prime polynomials in short intervals and in arithmetic progressions*, Duke Math. J. **164** (2015), no. 2, 277–295.
- [BS59] B. Birch and H. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Note on a problem of Chowla*, Acta Arith. **5** (1959), no. 4, 417–423.
- [CGH91] L. Caniglia, A. Galligo, and J. Heintz, *Equations for the projective closure and effective Nullstellensatz*, Discrete Appl. Math. **33** (1991), 11–23.
- [CM06] A. Cafure and G. Matera, *Improved explicit estimates on the number of solutions of equations over a finite field*, Finite Fields Appl. **12** (2006), no. 2, 155–185.
- [CM07a] ———, *An effective Bertini theorem and the number of rational points of a normal complete intersection over a finite field*, Acta Arith. **130** (2007), no. 1, 19–35.
- [CM07b] Q. Cheng and E. Murray, *On deciding deep holes of Reed-Solomon codes*, Theory and Applications of Models of Computation. 4th International Conference, TAMC 2007, Shanghai, China, May 22–25, 2007, Proceedings (Berlin Heidelberg) (J.-Y. Cai et al., ed.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4484, Springer, 2007, pp. 296–305.
- [CMP12] A. Cafure, G. Matera, and M. Privitelli, *Singularities of symmetric hypersurfaces and Reed-Solomon codes*, Adv. Math. Commun. **6** (2012), no. 1, 69–94.
- [CMP15a] ———, *Polar varieties, Bertini’s theorems and number of points of singular complete intersections over a finite field*, Finite Fields Appl. **31** (2015), 42–83.

- [CMP15b] E. Cesaratto, G. Matera, and M. Pérez, *The distribution of factorization patterns on linear families of polynomials over a finite field*, Preprint [arXiv:1408.7014 \[math.NT\]](#), to appear in *Combinatorica*, 2015.
- [CMPP14] E. Cesaratto, G. Matera, M. Pérez, and M. Privitelli, *On the value set of small families of polynomials over a finite field, I*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **124** (2014), no. 4, 203–227.
- [Coh70] S. Cohen, *The distribution of polynomials over finite fields*, *Acta Arith.* **17** (1970), 255–271.
- [Coh72] ———, *Uniform distribution of polynomials over finite fields*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **6** (1972), no. 1, 93–102.
- [Coh73] ———, *The values of a polynomial over a finite field*, *Glasg. Math. J.* **14** (1973), no. 2, 205–208.
- [Dan94] V. Danilov, *Algebraic varieties and schemes*, *Algebraic Geometry I* (I.R. Shafarevich, ed.), *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 23, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1994, pp. 167–307.
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, *Grad. Texts in Math.*, vol. 150, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [FS84] M. Fried and J. Smith, *Irreducible discriminant components of coefficient spaces*, *Acta Arith.* **44** (1984), no. 1, 59–72.
- [Ful84] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1984.
- [GL02a] S. Ghorpade and G. Lachaud, *Étale cohomology, Lefschetz theorems and number of points of singular varieties over finite fields*, *Mosc. Math. J.* **2** (2002), no. 3, 589–631.
- [GL02b] ———, *Number of solutions of equations over finite fields and a conjecture of Lang and Weil*, *Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics (Chandigarh, 2000)* (New Delhi) (A.K. Agarwal et al., ed.), Hindustan Book Agency, 2002, pp. 269–291.
- [GM15] E. Gorla and M. Massierer, *Point compression for the trace zero subgroup over a small degree extension field*, *Des. Codes Cryptography* **75** (2015), no. 2, 335–357.
- [Har92] J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry: a first course*, *Grad. Texts in Math.*, vol. 133, Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1992.
- [Hei83] J. Heintz, *Definability and fast quantifier elimination in algebraically closed fields*, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **24** (1983), no. 3, 239–277.
- [Kun85] E. Kunz, *Introduction to commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985.
- [LN83] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Addison–Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1983.
- [LP02] A. Lascoux and P. Pragacz, *Jacobian of symmetric polynomials*, *Ann. Comb.* **6** (2002), no. 2, 169–172.
- [MP13] G. Mullen and D. Panario, *Handbook of finite fields*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2013.
- [MPP14] G. Matera, M. Pérez, and M. Privitelli, *On the value set of small families of polynomials over a finite field, II*, *Acta Arith.* **165** (2014), no. 2, 141–179.
- [MPP16] ———, *Explicit estimates for the number of rational points of singular complete intersections over a finite field*, *J. Number Theory* **158** (2016), no. 2, 54–72.
- [Pol13] P. Pollard, *Irreducible polynomials with several prescribed coefficients*, *Finite Fields Appl.* **22** (2013), 70–78.
- [Rod09] F. Rodier, *Borne sur le degré des polynômes presque parfaitement non-linéaires*, *Arithmetic, geometry, cryptography and coding theory. Proceedings of the 11th international conference, CIRM, Marseille, France, November 5–9, 2007 (Providence, RI)* (G. Lachaud et al., ed.), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 487, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009, pp. 169–181.
- [Sha94] I.R. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry: Varieties in projective space*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1994.
- [Sid94] V. Sidelnikov, *Decoding Reed–Solomon codes beyond $(d - 1)/2$ and zeros of multivariate polynomials*, *Probl. Inf. Transm.* **30** (1994), no. 1, 44–59.
- [Uch55] S. Uchiyama, *Note on the mean value of $V(f)$. II*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **31** (1955), no. 6, 321–323.
- [Vog84] W. Vogel, *Results on Bézout’s theorem*, *Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Lect. Math.*, vol. 74, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1984.

¹INSTITUTO DEL DESARROLLO HUMANO, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE GENERAL SARMIENTO, J.M. GUTIÉRREZ 1150 (B1613GSX) LOS POLVORINES, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: {gmatera, vperez}@ungs.edu.ar

² NATIONAL COUNCIL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (CONICET), ARGENTINA

¹INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE GENERAL SARMIENTO, J.M. GUTIÉRREZ 1150 (B1613GSX) LOS POLVORINES, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: mprivite@ungs.edu.ar